

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	). F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/989,628	/989,628 11/20/2001		Dwight Inman	64645-1054	3464
27045	7590	11/24/2003		EXAMINER	
ERICSSO		<b></b>	TORRES, MARCOS L		
6300 LEGACY DRIVE M/S EVW2-C-2			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PLANO, TX 75024				2683	5
				DATE MAILED: 11/24/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/989,628	INMAN ET AL.				
omce Action Gummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAII ING DATE of this communication and	Marcos L Torres	2683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
· ·	–· action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All b)   Some * c)   None of:  1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a)   The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.	3 . 6) ☐ Other: .					

Application/Control Number: 09/989,628 Page 2

Art Unit: 2683

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harrison in view of Grace.

1

Application/Control Number: 09/989,628

Art Unit: 2683

As to claim 1, Harrison discloses a method for determining whether two or more parameters influence one another within a communications network (see abstract), comprising the steps of: obtaining a set of measurements for two or more parameters within the communications network (see page 1, lines 20-23); Grace disclose obtaining a set of measurements for two or more parameters within the communications network (see page 1, lines 8-15); determining a correlation between each of the two or more parameters (see page 3, lines 7-21); determining a partial correlation between each of the two or more parameters (see page 3, lines 2 – page 4, line 20); determining whether the correlations and the partial correlations are statistically significant (see page 4, lines 34-37); and determining whether the two or more parameters, if any, influence one another based on the statistically significant correlations and partial correlations (see col. 7, lines 6-28). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Harrison and Grace teachings for the simple purpose of designing a reliable system with less faults conditions.

As to claims 2-11, Harrison discloses a list of parameters in a communication network to measure performance and quality (see page 1, lines 4-23;), and Grace discloses a list of parameters in a communication network to measure (see col. 1, lines 11-22). Also, OFFICIAL NOTICE is taken that using parameters to monitor system performance and quality is a common and well known in the art; therefore, it would be obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to measure where data is or where is most convenient any parameter that affects the system.

Application/Control Number: 09/989,628

Art Unit: 2683

υ

As to claims 12-14, grace discloses the storage and retrieval of the measured parameters (see page 8, lines 3-30).

As to claim 15, Grace discloses identifying and solving a problem using the parameters (see page 6, line 32 – page 7, line 5).

Regarding claims 16-29, they are the corresponding apparatus claims of method claims 1-14. Therefore, claims 16-29 are rejected for the same reason shown above.

Regarding claims 30-43, they are the corresponding system claims of method claims 1-14. Therefore, claims 30-43 are rejected for the same reason shown above.

## Conclusion

Any response to this Office Action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 703-872-9314

For formal communication intended for entry, informal communication or draft communication; in the case of informal or draft communication, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"

Hand delivered responses should be brought to:

Crystal Park II
2121 Crystal Drive
Arlington, VA
Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcos L Torres whose telephone number is 703-305-1478. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:30pm alt. friday.

Application/Control Number: 09/989,628

Art Unit: 2683

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William G Trost can be reached on 703-308-5318. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

Marcos L Torres Examiner Art Unit 2683

Mlt

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600